



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,552	08/02/2001	Hideakira Yokoyama	0020-4883P	4627
2292 7590 05/14/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER KRASS, FREDERICK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09890552	8/2/2001	YOKOYAMA ET AL.	0020-4883P

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

Frederick Krass

ART UNIT	PAPER
1614	20070508


DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant previously submitted a COPY of an initialed Form 1449, requesting the record clearly reflect that the Olesen et al. article ("Experimental Headache Models") had been considered, since the previous examiner had apparently simultaneously initialed and lined through the citation. The examiner sent out a Form 892 citing the reference, thus leaving no doubt it had been considered.

The purpose of this communication is to make clear that the remaining references on that 1449 COPY were duplicate citations since they had been previously considered. The COPY has also been annotated to indicate that the Olesen et article was a duplicate as well, insofar as it was cited on the aforementioned 892.


Frederick Krass
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1614